Multilevel clinical psychological intervention after the earthquake in Norcia community: a pilot study
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Aim of the study was to explore the psychological well-being of Norcia’s teachers and students 3 years after the earthquake, and to verify the effectiveness of the Pennebaker’s expressive writing intervention (EWI) on a group of high-school students. In the first step of the study a drawing participative action-intervention, lasting three days, was carried out with twelve teachers of primary, middle and high school and their students. From the first step, emerged fear and anxiety related to the earthquake, and that the sense of belonging to Norcia community appeared to be an integrative factor against the dissociative feelings of the trauma. Starting from these results, in the second step of the study, the EWI was carried out on a sample of 18 high-school students. The participants were instructed to write for three days about feelings related to Norcia earthquake (experimental group) and about a non-emotional account of daily activities (control group). Before (T0) and 1 month after (T1) the EWI, levels of anxiety, the depression, the trauma symptom, dissociation, post-traumatic growth were measured. Moreover, the territorial sense of community was assessed at T0. Results of the second steps showed that the writing intervention did not reduce the psychopathological symptoms of the experimental group. However, it emerged that the sense of community could be a protective factor against the depression symptoms and could have an important facilitating role for the post-traumatic growth.
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Introduction

Several previous studies highlighted the high risk of developing post-traumatic stress as a consequence of particularly stressful events with a strong emotional impact. Trauma is an event perceived as threatening and potentially capable of causing physical and psychological damages (Sakuma, 2015) and appears to be one of the etiological factors of psychotic disorders and symptoms (Sherin & Nemeroff, 2011).

One of the major events with a strong traumatic impact is the earthquake. On 30 October 2016, one of the largest earthquakes occurred in central Italy (Pisco et al., 2018). The seismic sequence of the Norcia earthquake (Mw 6.5) was characterized by severe seismic tremors (Marzocchi, Taroni, & Falcone, 2017). This was one of the strongest seismic events occurred in Europe in the last thirty years, causing complex surface ruptures over an area of > 400 km² (Villani et al., 2018).

Earthquake victims, as well as the victims of other traumatic experiences, have often shown severe psychological consequences including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (DSM 4-TR, 2010; ICD-10, 1993). Among the most important clinical consequences of earthquakes-related traumatic experiences, there are dissociative symptoms, which include emotional amnesia, numbness, and avoidance of memories (Lanius et al., 2010; Massaro et al., 2018; Stovall-McClough & Cloitre, 2006). This symptomatology could be the consequence of a downregulation of the emotions related to the traumatic event aimed at avoiding perceiving emotions, cognitions and memories linked to the event (Dalenberg & Carlson, 2012; Lanius et al., 2012), to decrease the impact of the emotional reaction. The scale of dissociation subtypes in PTSD involves the measurement of three factors: derealization/depersonalization, loss of awareness, and psychogenic amnesia (Wolf et al., 2017).

Regarding the earthquakes-related traumatic experiences, the subjectivity of the residence time of the post-traumatic symptomatology could represent a protective factor.

The aim of the second step of the study was to assess the effectiveness of the expressive writing intervention proposed by Pennebaker in a sample of high-school students, randomly assigned to two groups (experimental and control groups). The hypothesis was that in the experimental group there would have been a reduction of the dissociation, anxiety, depression, traumatic symptoms levels and an increase in the post-traumatic growth compared to the control group. Moreover, it has been hypothesized that a high trait anxiety could be a risk factor for the post-traumatic growth, while a high sense of community could represent a protective factor.

Methods

Procedure

The study received the ethical approval from the Ethical Committee of the [edited for blind review] and it was
conducted from November 2018 to June 2019, three years after the earthquake of Norcia. This study was based on the participative action research model, that allows to face the solution of operational problems by developing forms of intervention aimed to positive and participatory change (Benvenuto, 2015). Participatory action research refers to a process whereby the researchers and stakeholders (those who potentially benefit from research results) collaborate in the design and conduct of all phases of the research.

The researchers were directly contacted by the major of Norcia (one of the epicentres of the 2016 earthquake) in order to arrange an intervention focused mainly on adolescents in schools.

The study involved two steps. The first step of the study involved a drawing participative intervention with the teachers of primary, middle, and high school. An expressive art intervention was chosen because it stimulates integrated and creative cognitive-emotional responses to trauma, supporting safety, positive emotional experiences, mastery, coping, and social-communication (Collie et al., 2006; Johnson, Lahad, & Gray, 2010; Kalmanowitz & Ho, 2016; Worrall & Jerry, 2007). Moreover, previous studies reported that figurative arts were acceptable for a diversity of populations impacted by trauma (Baker et al., 2017; Hongo, Katz, & Valenti, 2015).

The drawing participative intervention was structured in three different days (lasted about 3 hours for each day), and it was scheduled as follows. In the first day, teachers firstly shared their earthquake-related memories and emotions in a circle time (Glazzard, 2016). During this circle time it emerged a persistent condition of fear and instability that was still affecting the everyday life in the current present. After the circle time, the following instructions were given to the teachers: “Try to draw the city of Norcia before, during and after the earthquake”, thus eliciting the representation of the participants’ mental images of the context of the earthquake and its effects during that time. This drawing instruction was chosen because it allows to integrate the traumatic past with the present moment, producing a reflection even on future expectations. For drawings, participants chose from a selection of white paper, pastels or markers. After drawing, the emotions emerged by the drawings were shared in another circle time. At the end of the first day, it was proposed to the teachers to repeat this drawing exercise with their own students, using the same procedural modalities.

After one month, there was the second day of the drawing intervention with teachers who, during the time elapsed since the previous meeting, had carried out the drawing intervention with their students. The teachers showed and shared students’ drawings and feelings that emerged in the classroom during a circle time. Finally, during the third day of the drawing intervention there was a restitution meeting on the contents that emerged from the drawing action-intervention.

Starting from contents emerged in the first step of the study, the second step involved an expressive writing intervention following the Pennebaker technique (Pennebaker & Beall, 1986). This second step of the study was carried out in collaboration with the De Gasperi - Battaglia Scholastic Institute of Norcia (Italy). The students who voluntarily accepted to participate were enrolled in the study after having signed an informed consent. Before the writing intervention (T0), the following self-report questionnaires were administered to the participants: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Y-1 form (STAI Y-1), Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), Trauma Symptom Inventory (TSI), Dissociative Experience Scale-II (DES-II), Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), and Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS).

After the administration of the questionnaires, the participants were randomly assigned to two different groups for the expressive writing task: one group, in which participants were instructed to write for three days “a non-emotional account of their activities in the 24 h prior to their writing session”, for about 15-20 minutes per day (control group); and the other one, in which participants were instructed to write for three days about their “deepest thoughts and feelings” related to the Norcia earthquake for about 15-20 minutes per day (experimental group). The number of writing sessions and the time allotment were chosen on the basis of previous findings that at least three sessions and at least 15 min of writing during each session were needed in order the intervention to be effective (Frattaroli, 2006). On a fourth visit, four weeks after the final writing session (T1), participants once again completed the outcome measures.

Participants

Step 1. Potential teachers were invited to take part in step 1 via a direct contact by the local administration office. People who responded to the invitation were directly contacted by the researchers to make arrangements for the first step. Informed consent was obtained before the first step. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 60 years, being teachers in Norcia or in the seismic crater area, as defined by civil protection, when the earthquake hit the region. Exclusion criteria were psychoactive medication use, substance abuse, and presence of neurological diseases.

Step 2. Potential students were recruited through a scholastic communication distributed in the last years of the high school classes of the De Gasperi - Battaglia Scholastic Institute of Norcia. Participation in the study was completely voluntary, and each participant signed informed consent before the administration of the psychological assessment questionnaires started. Inclusion criteria considered age between 18 and 20 years and having been in Norcia or the seismic crater area, as defined by civil protection, when the earthquake hit the region. Exclusion criteria were the same of the first step of the study.

Measures

The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger, 1970) is a reliable and valid self-report questionnaire, and it comprises separate self-report scales for measuring state and trait anxiety. The S-Anxiety scale (STAI Y-1) consists of twenty statements that evaluate how the respondent feels “right now, at this moment”. The T-Anxiety scale (STAI Y-2) consists of twenty statements that evaluate how the
The Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS) is a 26 items-self report questionnaire with four response modalities (4=strongly agree, 3=agree, 2=disagree, 1=strongly disagree) (Prezza et al., 2009). The MTSOCS is based on McMillan and Chavis's (1986) theory. The internal consistency coefficients for the scale have ranged from .86 to .95; test-retest reliability coefficients ranged from .65 to .75 over a 2-month interval (Spielberger et al., 1983).

The Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI II) is a 21-item self-report questionnaire consisting of four statements describing increasing intensities of depressive symptoms (Beck, Steer & Brown, 1996). It includes somatic and cognitive-affective symptoms and each item ranges from 0 to 3 reflecting the patient’s feelings in the previous two weeks. A score of 14 or above is indicative of depressive symptoms. Depressive symptoms were categorized as: minimal–moderate depressive symptoms (range 14–19), moderate–severe depressive symptoms (range 20–29), severe depressive symptoms (range 30–63) (Beck, Steer & Brown, 1996). Cronbach Alpha was .86 for the somatic factor, 0.65 for the cognitive-affective factor (Montano & Flebus, 2006).

The Trauma Symptom Inventory (TSI; Briere, 1995) is the most commonly used self-report measure of posttraumatic symptomatology in adults (Briere & Hedges, 2010). Items ranged from ‘0’ representing no experience of the symptom to ‘3’ representing frequent occurrence in the last 6 months. Internal consistency ranged from 0.71 to 0.83 (Gambetti et al., 2011).

The Dissociative Experiences Scale II (DES II) (Carlson & Putnam, 2000) is a 28-item self-report scale used to assess the frequency, intensity, and nature of dissociative experiences, and its scores do not correspond to a diagnosis of dissociation. The DES II is based on an analogical assessment: the participants indicate the frequency of the experience described in each item on a 100 mm scale, providing a score ranging from 0 to 100. The total is multiplied by 10 and then divided by 28 (the number of questions) to calculate the average score (Carlson & Putnam, 2000). The scale has good psychometric properties: Cronbach’s alpha values were .91 for the total DES score (Massaro et al., 2018).

The Post-Traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996) is a 21-item self-report that assesses post-trauma growth and self-improvement. The statements of each item are related to the following five factors: Factor I-Relating to Others; Factor II-New Possibilities; Factor III-Personal Strength; Factor IV-Spiritual Enhancement; Factor V-Acceptation. A sum of the scores indicates the level of post-traumatic growth. Cronbach’s alpha was .92 (Prati & Pietrantoni, 2006).

The Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS) is a 26 items-self report questionnaire with four response modalities (4=strongly agree, 3=agree, 2=disagree, 1=strongly disagree) (Prezza et al., 2009). The MTSOCS is based on McMillan and Chavis’s (1986) theory. The internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) of the total score was .88 (Prezza et al., 2009).

Statistical analyses

The differences between groups (Experimental vs. Control) on the scores of the BDI-II, STAI-S, DES-II, PTGI, and TSI at T0 and T1 were computed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. Moreover, the differences between T0 and T1 on the scores of the BDI-II, STAI-S, DES-II, PTGI, and TSI were computed separately in the Experimental and Control groups using the non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs test.

Moreover, separately on the Experimental and Control Groups, the correlations (Pearson’s r) between the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-trait anxiety (STAI-T), the Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS) scores at T0 and the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the scores of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-state anxiety (STAI-S), and Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI) were performed.

Starting from the significant correlations, multiple regression analyses setting up STAI-T at T0 as predictor were conducted respectively on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the STAI-S and PTGI (total, NP, and AL). Moreover, multiple regression analysis setting up the MTSOCS at T0 as predictor was conducted on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the BDI-II.

Results

Results of step 1

The sample was composed by twelve teachers. From the drawing intervention emerged a strong desire to restore the sense of identity and a need to use the old haunts in order to rebuild a greater sense of community (see Figure 1). In general, older students also reported experiences of fear and anxiety for the future. At the end of the drawing intervention, during the circle time emerged difficulties especially in high school students, with particular reference to depressive and anxious experiences. Moreover, from the drawings emerged dissociative feelings of poor integration between the past traumatic event and the present moment. Furthermore, during the circle time, emerged a need to feel active part of the community, such as feelings of connectedness with the community members.

Results of step 2

The sample was composed by eighteen participants (15 females and 3 males; mean age females M= 18.3 ± 0.6, mean age males M= 18.0 ± 0.0; t(16)= 0.9; p=.375). The participants were randomly assigned to the two groups: 9 to the Experimental Group (M= 18.1 ± 0.3) and 9 to the Control one (M= 18.4 ± 0.7).

The differences between how groups (Experimental vs. Control) performed on the scores of the BDI-II, STAI-S, DES-II, PTGI, and TSI at T0 and T1 using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test did not show any significant results (see table 1).
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The differences between T0 and T1 on the scores of the BDI-II, STAI-S, DES-II, PTGI, and TSI computed separately in the Experimental and Control groups, using the non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs test, showed the following results (see table 2). In the experimental group, the significant differences between T0 and T1 were found on the PTGI total, relating to others, and personal strength scales, where the participants showed a higher score at T0 compared to T1 (see table 2). In the control group the significant differences between T0 and T1 were found on the BDI-II and DES-II scales, where the participants showed a higher score at T0 compared to T1 (see table 2).

**Tab. 2. Differences between T0 and T1 on the scores of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-state anxiety (STAI-S), Dissociative Experience Scale-II (DES-II), Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), and Trauma Symptom Inventory (TSI) performed separately in the Experimental and Control groups using the non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs test.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental (N=9)</th>
<th>Control (N=9)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T0</strong></td>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>T0</strong></td>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>10.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State anxiety</td>
<td>37.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>41.2 ± 7.9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation</td>
<td>22.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>26.4 ± 17.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-total</td>
<td>41.1 ± 18.0</td>
<td>35.7 ± 16.8</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-relating to others</td>
<td>13.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>10.0 ± 5.5</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-personal strength</td>
<td>8.1 ± 5.4</td>
<td>6.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-new possibilities</td>
<td>7.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-spiritual change</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-appreciation of life</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma symptoms</td>
<td>70.1 ± 35.4</td>
<td>80.4 ± 13.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>.596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Examples of three different drawings. The instruction was «Try to draw the city of Norcia before, during and after the earthquake.»

**Tab. 1. Differences between groups (Experimental vs. Control) on the scores of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-state anxiety (STAI-S), Dissociative Experience Scale-II (DES-II), Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI), and Trauma Symptom Inventory (TSI) at T0 and T1 performed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental (N=9)</th>
<th>Control (N=9)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T0</strong></td>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>T0</strong></td>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.4 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State anxiety</td>
<td>37.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>39.3 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation</td>
<td>22.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>23.3 ± 15.2</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic growth-total</td>
<td>41.1 ± 18.0</td>
<td>28.1 ± 15.0</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>.337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental (N=9) | Control (N=9)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T0</strong></td>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M ± SD</td>
<td>M ± SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Post-traumatic growth-relating to others**: 13.2 ± 6.2 vs. 8.1 ± 5.4, p = .018 vs. 10.0 ± 5.5 vs. 6.9 ± 5.2, p = .110
- **Post-traumatic growth-new possibilities**: 7.1 ± 5.1 vs. 7.1 ± 3.8 vs. 1.000 vs. 6.6 ± 5.2 vs. 4.6 ± 3.4, p = .161
- **Post-traumatic growth-personal strength**: 9.8 ± 3.0 vs. 6.0 ± 3.8 vs. .038 vs. 8.1 ± 4.4 vs. 5.3 ± 3.3, p = .110
- **Post-traumatic growth-spiritual change**: 2.9 ± 2.7 vs. 1.4 ± 1.9 vs. .075 vs. 1.4 ± 1.7 vs. 1.1 ± 1.1, p = .500
- **Post-traumatic growth-appreciation of life**: 8.1 ± 5.1 vs. 5.4 ± 3.2 vs. .059 vs. 7.6 ± 5.2 vs. 4.8 ± 2.6, p = .069

Only the correlational analyses performed on the Experimental Group between the STAI-T, the MTSOCS at T0 and the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the scores of the BDI-II, STAI-S, and PTGI showed significant results. The STAI-T score at T0 was positively associated with the Δ STAI-S score, and it was negatively associated with the Δ PTGI total, new possibility, and appreciation of life scores. Moreover, the MTSOCS score was negatively associated with the Δ BDI-II score (see table 3). The correlational analyses performed on the Control Group did not show any significant results.

### Tab. 3. Correlations (Pearson’s r) between the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-trait anxiety (STAI-T), the Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS) at T0 and the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the scores of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-state anxiety (STAI-S), and Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI) of the experimental and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental group at T0 (N=9)</th>
<th>Control group at T0 (N=9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Δ Depression</strong></td>
<td><strong>Δ State anxiety</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>-.1436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense of community</td>
<td>-.7590*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group at T0 (N=9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>-.2280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense of community</td>
<td>.5739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

Starting from the significant correlational analyses, the multiple regression model performed with the STAI-T score at T0 as predictor on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the STAI-S and the PTGI (total, new possibility, and appreciation of life) scores showed the following results (see table 4).

### Tab. 4. Multiple regression model with the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-trait anxiety (STAI-T) at T0 as predictor on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-state anxiety (STAI-S) and the Post-traumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI) of the experimental group (N=9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R= -.76; R²= .58; adj R²= .57; p= .016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta</strong></td>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Δ state anxiety</strong></td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Δ post-traumatic growth-total</strong></td>
<td>-.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Δ post-traumatic growth-new possibilities</strong></td>
<td>-.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Δ post-traumatic growth-appreciation of life</strong></td>
<td>-.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The regression model was significant and the STAI-T score at T0 resulted as significant predictor of the Δ STAI-S and the Δ PTGI total, new possibility, and appreciation of life scores. Moreover, the multiple regression models performed with the MTSOCS at T0 as predictors on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the BDI-II showed the following result (see table 5).

The multiple regression model was significant and the MTSOCS score at T0 resulted as significant predictors of the Δ BDI-II.

Tab. 5. Multiple regression models with the Multidimensional Territorial Sense of Community Scale (MTSOCS) at T0 as predictors on the difference between T0 and T1 (Δ= T1-T0) of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) of the experimental group (N=9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of community at T0</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>t(7)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R= .76; R²= .58; adj R²= .51; p= .018</td>
<td>-.76</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

From the first step of the study, it emerged that in the teachers and students of Norcia there were feelings of fear and anxiety related to the earthquake that persisted and affected their lives still in the present moment. The participants stressed the static nature of life after the earthquake, and the difficulty to integrate the traumatic event in the present moment. From the participants’ report emerged that being part of Norcia community could be a useful integrative factor against the dissociative feelings deriving from the trauma. These findings were used in the second step of the study to plan the intervention.

The results of the second step of the study showed that the writing expressive intervention did not produce a reduction of the psychopathological symptom levels in the experimental group compared to the control group. Differently to the hypotheses, in the control group there was a significant reduction of the depressive and dissociative symptoms from T0 to T1, and, in the experimental group, there was a reduction of post-traumatic growth from T0 to T1. These findings suggested that there was an effect of the treatment on the post-traumatic growth, which seems to decrease over the time only in the experimental group. Moreover, the decrease of depressive and dissociative symptoms in the control group, it could be hypothesized that the neutral writing could have had a positive impact because participants may have perceived the daily writing task as a form of care by the researcher psychologists in an intervention requiring less emotive involvement. Moreover, as suggested in previous studies (Nolen-Hoeksema, Larson & Grayson, 1999; Earnhardt et al., 2002), it could be hypothesized that the writing instructions received by the control group may have served as a distraction by focusing the attention on the description the daily activities, reducing the rumination and the depressive symptoms. Another potential explanation could be that the control group could have expected to feel better just by volunteering for the study, regardless of what their participation involved. As previously suggested, there is ample empirical evidence that self-healing often begins with an expectation of improvement and the confidence that the treatment will help (Harrington, 1997; Earnhardt et al., 2002). This self-healing effect could not have been present in the experimental group because the expressive writing may have the potential to activate negative schemas and facilitate rumination (Yasinski, Hayes, & Laurenceau, 2016; Pavlic et al., 2019; Giovannetti et al., 2019), with negative thoughts activated unintentionally, which can reactivate exposure to the stressful experience (Qi et al., 2019). A similar phenomenon has already been discussed by Littrell (1998), who argues that, in some cases, encouraging an emotional experience can be counterproductive if a new meaning to the evoked emotional material is not provided. Finally, in the interpretation of the present results it has to be taken into consideration the possible denial developed by the students of Norcia towards the seismic event; this would lead to a raising of the defences when asked to express their emotions related to the earthquake.

In this regard, it could be interesting to report the other findings of the present study, that suggested that only in the experimental group there was an association between trait-anxiety before the intervention and the change over time of the state-anxiety. Higher scores in trait-anxiety were associated with an increase of state-anxiety at T1. This finding is consistent with other studies present in the literature (Mertens, Zane, Neumeyer & Grossman, 2017; Li & Lopez, 2019) and suggest that for people who already tend to have anxiety, written expressive disclosure may be contraindicated if the other psychological supports are not provided. Moreover, higher scores in trait-anxiety before the intervention were associated with a decrease of post-traumatic growth from T0 to T1. According with the initial hypotheses, this finding suggests that anxiety may be a risk factor for post-traumatic growth.

There is previous contrasting evidence about this association. Previous studies (Peng et al., 2019) reported an inverse correlation between anxiety and post-traumatic growth, while other studies (Jaarsma et al., 2006; Leong Abdullah et al., 2015) did not found this correlation. The present findings on the Norcia population would seem to support a negative association between anxiety and post-traumatic growth. These findings suggested that, when people are stimulated to describe their feelings concerning traumatic experience, anxiety could obstruct the positive change underlying post-traumatic growth.

Finally, the results of the present study showed that, only in the experimental group, high levels of sense of community before the intervention were associated with a decrease of the depression from T0 to T1. Confirming the initial hypothesis, these findings highlight that the sense of community may play a protective role against depression and facilitate the occurrence of beneficial effects of the intervention (Lai et al., 2020). This interpretation is also supported by other previous studies (Li et al., 2011; Moscardino et al., 2010), that showed that the effect of earth-quake-associated distress is contingent upon the level of sense of community. Previous findings highlighted the protective role of individuals’ sense of community as a resource against traumatic-related stressors and suggested that attempts to build trust and a sense of belonging to the new community could help to reduce distress (Li et al., 2011; Moscardino et al., 2010), supporting also the qualitative findings of the first
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